# British Upper Cretaceous stratigraphy

R.N. Mortimore Applied Geology Research Unit, School of the Environment, University of Brighton, UK

C.J. Wood Scops Geological Services Ltd, 20 Temple Road, Croydon, UK, formerly of the British Geological Survey

and

R.W. Gallois 92 Stoke Valley Road, Exeter UK formerly of the British Geological Survey,

GCR Editor: D. Skevington

# British Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy

'Of all the rocks with which I am acquainted, there is none whose formation seems to tax the ingenuity of theorists so severely, as the White Limestone or Chalk, in whatever respect we may think fit to consider it.

Thomas Allan, FRS Edinburgh 1823, (Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, Volume 9, p. 393).

(Frontispiece 1) William Whitaker, (1836–1925), geologist with the Geological Survey, introduced an early lithostratigraphical classification of the Chalk, including the term 'Chalk Rock' in the Chiltern Hills and London Basin, and recognized the horizon subsequently called the 'Spurious Chalk Rock' on the Isle of Wight. (Photograph supplied by Mrs Carreck from the Geologists Association archives.)

(Frontispiece 2) Dr Arthur Rowe, considered by many to be the founder of modern palaeontology with his study of *Micraster* (1899) is famous, with Sherborn, for his study of the zones of the White Chalk of the English Coast (1900–1908). (a) Rowe and his daughter, Daphne, *c.* 1903 at North Landing on the Yorkshire coast, showing giant Paramoudra in the Wootton Marls–Ulceby Marl interval (from Rowe, 1904). (b) Rowe and Charles Sherborn *c.* 1900 at Eastbourne, Sussex (photograph supplied by Professor Andy Gale).

Published by the Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Monkstone House, City Road, Peterborough, PE1 1JY, UK

First edition 2001 © 2001 Joint Nature Conservation Committee

Typeset in 10/12pt Garamond ITC by JNCC. Printed in Great Britain by CLE Print Limited on Huntsman Velvet 100 gsm.

ISBN 1 86107 488 3.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the UK Copyright Designs and Patents Act, 1988, this publication may not be reproduced, stored, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction only in accordance with the terms of the licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK, or in accordance with the terms and licences issued by the appropriate Reproduction Rights Organization outside the UK. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the terms stated here should be sent to the GCR Team, JNCC.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

British Geological Survey and Ordnance Survey copyright protected materials

1. The copyright of materials derived from the British Geological Survey's work is vested in the Natural Environment Research Council. No part of these materials (geological maps, charts, plans, diagrams, graphs, cross-sections, figures,

sketch maps, tables, photographs) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in a retrieval system of any nature, without the written permission of the copyright holder, in advance.

2. To ensure that copyright infringements do not arise, permission has to be obtained from the copyright owner. In the case of BGS maps this includes both BGS and the Ordnance Survey. Most BGS geological maps make use of Ordnance Survey topography (Crown Copyright), and this is acknowledged on BGS maps. Reproduction of Ordnance Survey materials may be independently permitted by the licences issued by Ordnance Survey to many users. Users who do not have an Ordnance Survey licence to reproduce the topography must make their own arrangments with the Ordnance Survey Copyright Branch, Romsey Road, Southampton SO9 4DH (Tel. 01703 792913).

3. Permission to reproduce BGS materials must be sought in writing from the Intellectual Property Rights Manager, British Geological Survey Kingsley Dunham Centre, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG (Tel. 0115 936 3331).

4. The National Grid is used on diagrams with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office, © Crown copyright licence no. GD 27254X/01/00.

Recommended example citation: Mortimore, R.N., Wood, C.J. and Gallois, R.W. (2001) *British Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy,* Geological Conservation Review Series, No. 23, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

# Contents

### Acknowledgements

### Access to the countryside

### Preface

#### GCR site selection guidelines and site networks

# 1 The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles

#### Introduction

Definition of the Upper Cretaceous Series

Global geological setting

Upper Cretaceous palaeogeography, climate and sea-level curves

Upper Cretaceous tectonic setting in Europe

The rocks of the Upper Cretaceous Series

#### Chalk

- Marly chalk and marl seams
- Diagenetic effects: nodular chalks, chalkstones, hardgrounds, soft and hard chalks

Flints and trace fossil stratigraphy

Greensands, chert beds and limestones

- Upper Cretaceous stratigraphical framework
- Upper Cretaceous lithostratigraphy in the British Isles

Cyclostratigraphy, episodic events and chemostratigraphy
Upper Cretaceous biostratigraphy in the British Isles
Correlation
2 Fossils of the Chalk and the ecology of the Upper Cretaceous Chalk seas
Fossils of the Chalk
Key biostratigraphical indices
Cephalopods: ammonites
Cephalopods: belemnites
Bivalves: inoceramids Other bivalves
Brachiopods
Sea urchins: the echinoids Sea lilies and feather stars: the crinoids
Trace fossils
Microfossils
The ecology of the Chalk seas
Integrated ecology; body fossils, trace fossils and isotopes
Summary
3 Southern Province, England
Introduction
Tectonic structure and sedimentation history
Stratigraphy
Lithostratigraphy of the Chalk in the Southern Province
Grey Chalk Subgroup
White Chalk Subgroup
South-west England (south-east Devon, Somerset and west Dorset)
Biostratigraphy and chronostratigraphy
Hooken Cliff, south-east Devon
Wilmington Quarry, south-east Devon
Reeds Farm Pit, Wilmington, south-east Devon
Furley Chalk Pit, Membury, south-east Devon

Snowdon Hill Quarry, Chard, Somerset
Shillingstone Quarry, Dorset
Dead Maid Quarry, Mere, Wiltshire
Charnage Down Chalk Pit, Mere, Wiltshire
West Harnham Chalk Pit, Salisbury, Wiltshire
White Nothe, Dorset
Handfast Point to Ballard Point, Dorset
Compton Bay, Isle of Wight
Whitecliff, Isle of Wight
Downend Chalk Pit, Portsdown, Hampshire
Newhaven to Brighton, Sussex
Cuckmere to Seaford, Sussex
Southerham Grey Pit, Lewes, Sussex
Southerham Pit, Lewes, Sussex
Folkestone to Kingsdown: Folkestone–Dover and Dover–Kingsdown, Kent
Thanet Coast, Kent
4 Transitional Province, England
Introduction
Tectonic structure and sedimentation history
Stratigraphy
Grey Chalk Subgroup
White Chalk Subgroup
Fognam Quarry, Berkshire Downs, Berkshire
Boxford Chalk Pit, Berkshire
Winterbourne Chalk Pit, Berkshire
South Lodge Pit, Berkshire
Aston Rowant Cutting, Oxfordshire Chiltern Hills
Chinnor Chalk Pit, Oxfordshire Chiltern Hills
Kensworth Chalk Pit, Bedfordshire Chiltern Hills

Barrington Chalk Pit, Cambridgeshire Caistor St Edmund Chalk Pit, Norwich, Norfolk Catton Grove Chalk Pit, Norwich, Norfolk Overstrand to Trimingham Cliffs, Norfolk **5 Northern Province, England** Introduction Tectonic structure and sedimentological setting Stratigraphy Lithostratigraphy Ferriby Chalk Formation Welton Chalk Formation Burnham Chalk Formation Flamborough Chalk Formation **Rowe Formation** Biostratigraphy **Cenomanian Stage Turonian Stage Coniacian Stage** Santonian Stage Campanian Stage Hunstanton Cliffs, the Wash, Norfolk Melton Bottom Chalk Pit, East Yorkshire Enthorpe Railway Cutting, Yorkshire Wolds Flamborough Head, North and East Yorkshire 6 Inner Hebrides Group, north-west Scotland Introduction Tectonic setting and sedimentary history Stratigraphy A litho- and biostratigraphy for the Inner Hebrides Group Beinn Iadain and Beinn na h-Uamha, Morven General Review of the Inner Hebrides Group Appendix: Definition of the Upper Cretaceous stages and substages **References Glossary Fossil index** 

General index

Gribun, Isle of Mull

# **Acknowledgements**

We have been particularly fortunate in the support we have received from various organisations, landowners and individuals. These include The British Geological Survey, particularly Dr Roger Bristow, Peter Hopson and Mark Woods; Rugby Portland Cement Works at Chinnor, Kensworth and Barrington; and Blue Circle Industries plc at Beggars Knoll, Wiltshire. The Staff of English Nature and Scottish Natural Heritage gave permission to visit many sites and, in some cases, reinstated exposures for us to study

Landowners made access to the various sections possible, particularly Mrs. de Kee, Auchnacraig Estate, Loch Don, Isle of Mull; Matthew Wilson, Claggan Schoolhouse, By Lochaline, Morvern, on Beinn Iadain and Beinn na h-Uamha; and Gordon Liney, Manager Tilcon (Scotland Ltd), the Lochaline Mines, Morvern.

We are especially grateful to the many research workers who not only provided helpful advice and comment but, in many cases, also provided us with unpublished data derived from their own researches on the Upper Cretaceous rocks of Britain. Dr Christopher Jeans, University of Cambridge, kindly gave us his rock collection and thin sections from the Inner Hebrides Group, which have been invaluable in interpreting the sedimentary environment of this group. He and Dr Ian Platten also supplied us with copious field notes. Dr Jeans also gave us photographs of the Speeton Cliff sections, Yorkshire, and gave us permission to publish them. Dr Michael Oates (BG Group) contributed information on the Chiltern Hills and discussed the geological history of the Inner Hebrides. Animated discussions with Ray Skelhorn on the origin of the Inner Hebrides Upper Cretaceous sediments confirmed the controversial nature of this group of rocks. Stewart Ullyott and John Bollard, University of Brighton, helped prepare the cut slabs and thin sections of Scottish Upper Cretaceous rocks. Professor Andy Gale of the University of Greenwich provided many field section logs including those for the Cenomanian of Folkestone, Hunstanton and Speeton and the photographs of Boxford Pit.

Dr Haydon Bailey and Tim Wright of Network Stratigraphic Consulting Limited prepared the microfossil samples and plates using the SEM at the University of Brighton. They and Dr Liam Gallagher and Matthew Hampton also provided invaluable discussion of the nannofossil and microfossil biostratigraphy.

Our French colleagues, Dr Bernard Pomerol, Professor Maurice Renard and Dr Pascal Barchi of the University of Paris Marie Curie, researched the geochemistry of the Chalk and provided us with data used in this book.

Adrian Morter (formerly of the British Geological Survey) kindly provided unpublished logs of the glacio-tectonic chalk masses of the Norfolk coast and photographs of Yorkshire coast sections

Group Captain Tim Cockerell, University of Cambridge Committee for Aerial Photography, and his staff, gave us great help with selection and preparation of aerial photographs of the coastal geology of England and Scotland and permission to use the images in this book. The staff of the Geological Society Library, particularly Wendy Cawthorne, have been tireless in their searches on our behalf. We also thank Mrs Carreck of the Geologists' Association who searched and found historical photographs in the GA archives, one of which is used in the frontispiece of this book. Jim Bryant of the Palaeontographical Society kindly sought permission for us to use the figures and plates from the Society's monographs.

Finally, the referee, Professor Michael House, and the GCR editor, David Skevington, have been very patient and provided invaluable comment, thereby much improving the manuscript. In particular, David Skevington's detailed comments have been of inestimable value. The painstaking efforts by Susanne White (XIPRESS) on the diagrams and Emma Durham (GCR Production Editor, JNCC) in turning the manuscript into a book have been much appreciated. Neil Ellis (GCR Publications Manager, JNCC) has been a constant source of encouragement. Any mistakes in the science, however, are our own.

This volume is not intended for use as a field guide. The description or mention of any site should not be taken as an indication that access to a site is open. Most sites described are in private ownership, and their inclusion herein is solely for the purpose of justifying their conservation. Their description or appearance on a map in this work should not be construed as an invitation to visit. Prior consent for visits should always be obtained from the landowner and/or occupier.

Information on conservation matters, including site ownership, relating to Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) or National Nature Reserves (NNRs) in particular counties or districts may be obtained from the relevant country conservation agency headquarters listed below:

Countryside Council for Wales, Plas Penrhos, Ffordd Penrhos, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 2LQ.

English Nature, Northminster House, Peterborough PE1 1UA.

Scottish Natural Heritage, 12 Hope Terrace, Edinburgh EH9 2AS.

# Preface

Writing this book has been much more fun and instructive than we had originally envisaged. The assumption that the stratigraphy of most of the British Upper Cretaceous GCR sites was well established began to fall apart the more sites we reviewed and visited. This has confirmed the need to retain the existing GCR sites and to add to their number.

The review has highlighted numerous unresolved, controversial stratigraphical and sedimentological problems that have a fundamental bearing on our understanding of Late Cretaceous depositional environments.

Fieldwork on the Upper Cretaceous rocks of the Inner Hebrides has forced us into a radical re-think of these deposits and their tectonic setting. We were surprised by the evidence for re-working, probable olistostromes and debris flows and the influence on sedimentation of major tectonic lines such as the Great Glen Fault and the Moine Thrust. Structural partitioning into local depositional basins parallels the situation in Northern Ireland, and the stratigraphy developed there in thicker, more complete sections, is crucial to the interpretation of the Inner Hebrides Group. A controversial, but nonetheless still to be investigated problem is the age of the first volcanic rocks that rest on the Inner Hebrides Group. The published dates are from lavas and lignites well above the basal volcanic rocks and indicate ages around 63–64 million years (Ma). It is, therefore, possible that the earliest volcanic rocks are of latest Cretaceous age and may possibly span the Cretaceous–Palaeogene boundary and include the iridium anomaly that is used as evidence for the inferred end-Cretaceous meteorite impact (bolide) event.

Detailed studies of small parts of the stratigraphy provide models for the formation of Upper Cretaceous rocks. This was superbly demonstrated by Jefferies (1963) in his groundbreaking study of the Plenus Marls, which set a standard for all subsequent Chalk stratigraphical investigations. Another such interval is the highly condensed sediments comprising the — Chalk Rock at the boundary between the traditional Middle and Upper Chalk. Correlation between the Chalk Rock localities such as Fognam Quarry (Berkshire), Charnage Down Chalk Pit and Dead Maid Quarry (Wiltshire), Shillingstone Quarry (Dorset), and the more expanded successions of Southerham. Pit, Lewes, (Sussex) and the Isle of Wight, has proved to be highly controversial.

On re-visiting the ice-rafted chalk blocks at Sidestrand and Overstrand on the north coast of Norfolk, an internal stratigraphy to the blocks was discovered, particularly the existence of primary marl seams, which has radically altered our view of the stratigraphy and sedimentation during Late Campanian and Early Maastrichtian times, providing an excellent link to the Chalk of the southern North Sea Basin.

Perhaps the most surprising outcome of this review has been the recognition of the absence from the list of GCR sites to date of important sections exposing the Plenus Marls–Melbourn Rock–Black Band succession. This interval spans the Cenomanian–Turonian (C/T) boundary, which has attracted more research papers than any other part of the Late Cretaceous rock column, with the exception of end-Cretaceous extinctions. This attention is owed largely to it representing both a major Oceanic Anoxic Event (OAE), a period of stepwise extinctions, a complete change in sedimentary regime and a possible further bolide event, again leaving an iridium anomaly in the sediment. The correlations between the Black Band of the Northern Province (Lincolnshire–Yorkshire) and the Plenus Marls of southern England have been controversial and again involve crossing major tectonic lines such as The Wash between the Northern and Transitional Chalk provinces, as well as marked differences in sedimentation between the provinces.

The GCR sites described in this book have made — and continue to make — a major contribution to resolving these controversies and to understanding the stratigraphical events in the Upper Cretaceous succession of the UK and globally. The 37 GCR sites are arranged in regional or sub-regional order, based on depositional provinces. The designation of the GCR status of the sites was made, in some cases, more than 20 years ago, with the inevitable result that some of the sites have become degraded, although site management work by the country conservation agencies continues to improve the quality of exposures where this is practical and desirable in the interests of long-term conservation. Nonetheless, in reviewing these sites we have indicated how they link to other important exposures, locally or more widely (cross references to GCR sites are presented in bold typeface). Some of these complementary sites are worthy of consideration for the GCR in the future.

R.N. Mortimore, C.J. Wood and R.W. Gallois December 2000

# GCR site selection guidelines and site networks

This volume describes 37 sites that merit GCR status — and thereby long-term conservation — because of their especial significance to the study and understanding of Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy in Britain. The general principles guiding GCR site selection are described in the introductory GCR volume (Ellis *et al.*, 1996), but can be encapsulated in three broad components:

- International geological importance (for example, GSSP sites and palaeontological 'type' sites are included; other sites that have informal, but widely held, international recognition are also selected).
- Presence of 'classic' or exceptional features that are scientifically important (for example, 'text-book' examples of particular features or exceptionally rare geological occurrences are included).
- Presence of representative Earth science features (for example, features that are characteristic or typical of a fundamental British geological event) that are essential in comprehensively portraying Britain's Earth history. Thus, a site may be selected for showing the most complete *regional* representation of phenomena that are quite widespread.

It should be assumed that an 'internationally' rated site will also be representative of an event or process and will logically include exceptional features.

In order to ensure true national importance in the selected representative sites, site selection was underpinned by the premise that the particular 'GCR Block' (site selection category) should be represented by the *minimum number* of sites. Only those sites absolutely necessary to represent the most important aspects of Britain's Cenomanian to Maastrichtian stratigraphy were therefore selected; unnecessary duplication of interest was thus minimized.

It must be stressed that not any representative site will suffice, and a series of weightings is applied to the general guidelines to help to distinguish the best or most suitable site for the GCR. For example, preference is given to sites with the most extensive or best-preserved record of a certain feature, the most detailed geochronological evidence or a

particularly long history of study. Sites that have contributed to the development of the principles and theories of the Earth sciences or have significant potential for future research are also preferred.

In some cases, representative sites were selected for their contribution to a group of related sites, for example, the Dead Maid Quarry, Mere, and the Southerham group of quarries, Lewes. This applied especially where there is a geographical component in the scientific interest. Such a group of sites may include different aspects of one type of phenomenon, which shows significant variations in its characteristics, for example, in relation to factors such as regional geological setting within a province (e.g. Hooken Cliff, south-east Devon). In this case there may be 'core' sites, perhaps those showing the most extensive and best researched sequences, while other sites may demonstrate significant variations on the main theme. Nonetheless, it is the group of sites together that remains nationally important.

On an entirely practical level, all selected sites must be conservable, meaning in essence: (a) that development planning consents do not exist or else amendments can be negotiated; and (b) that sites are physically viable, for example, in terms of the long-term stability of exposures and their location with respect to the watertable (Gordon and Campbell, 1992).

To compile the ultimate GCR site list for this GCR Block, extensive consultations were carried out with appropriate Earth scientists, and several hundred sites were assessed before the final listing was produced. There are many problems inherent in producing a truly representative list of nationally important sites that merit conservation. In order to help provide a framework for selecting sites, the concept of GCR Networks is applied.

# **GCR Networks**

The British Chalk outcrop is strongly characterized by faunal and depositional provinces, and it is the intention within the 'representativeness' rationale of the GCR to be able to demonstrate the geological features of all of these provinces from the evidence present in the selected GCR sites. These provinces can be thought of as providing the main basis for Upper Cretaceous GCR Networks, for which linked clusters of representative GCR sites can be selected. For British Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy, other GCR Networks, or 'themes', are also represented, for the following features:

- historical importance
- lithostratigraphy
- biostratigraphy
- cyclostratigraphy
- sequence stratigraphy and sea levels
- chemostratigraphy and tephro-events
- tectonic influences

Clearly, any one site may be helpful in elucidating several of these themes and therefore may contribute to more than one GCR network (for example, Southerham Grey Pit provides information about litho-, bio-, and cyclostratigraphy as well as tectonic setting and sequence stratigraphy).

It is clear from the foregoing that many factors have been involved in selecting the sites proposed for conservation and described in this volume. Sites rarely fall neatly into one category or another; normally they have assets and characteristics that satisfy a range of the guidelines and preferential weightings used. A full appreciation of the reasons for the selection of individual sites cannot be gained from these few paragraphs. The full justification and arguments behind the selection of particular sites are only explained satisfactorily by the full site accounts given in subsequent chapters. This, after all, is the raison d'être of the GCR Series of publications.

#### **References**



WIlliam Whitaker, (1836–1925), geologist with the Geological Survey, introduced an early lithostratigraphical classification of the Chalk, including the term 'Chalk Rock' in the Chiltern Hills and London Basin, and recognized the horizon subsequently called the 'Spurious Chalk Rock' on the Isle of Wight. (Photograph supplied by Mrs Carreck from the Geologists Association archives.)

(Frontispiece 1) William Whitaker, (1836–1925), geologist with the Geological Survey, introduced an early lithostratigraphical classification of the Chalk, including the term 'Chalk Rock' in the Chiltern Hills and London Basin, and recognized the horizon subsequently called the 'Spurious Chalk Rock' on the Isle of Wight. (Photograph supplied by Mrs Carreck from the Geologists Association archives.)



(Frontispiece 2) Dr Arthur Rowe, considered by many to be the founder of modern palaeontology with his study of Micraster (1899) is famous, with Sherborn, for his study of the zones of the White Chalk of the English Coast (1900–1908). (a) Rowe and his daughter, Daphne, c. 1903 at North Landing on the Yorkshire coast, showing giant Paramoudra in the Wootton Marls–Ulceby Marl interval (from Rowe, 1904). (b) Rowe and Charles Sherborn c. 1900 at Eastbourne, Sussex (photograph supplied by Professor Andy Gale).